HIGH ENERGY ASYMPTOTICS OF MULTI–COLOUR QCD AND EXACTLY SOLVABLE LATTICE MODELS

L.N. Lipatov

St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute

Gatchina, 188350, Russia

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Sezione di Padova

Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova (Italy)

arXiv:hep-th/9311037v1 5 Nov 1993

Abstract

The quantum inverse scattering method is applied to the solution of equations for wave functions of compound states of n reggeized gluons in the multicolour QCD in a generalized leading logarithmic approximation.

DFPD/93/TH/70 October 1993

In the leading logarithmic approximation (LLA), where $g^2 \ell n S \sim 1$ (g is QCD coupling constant), the asymptotics of amplitudes for the colourless particle scattering at high energies $\sqrt{S}$ is governed by the Feynman diagrams with two reggeized gluons in the crossing channel [1]. The intercept of the Pomeron trajectory turns out to be bigger than unity in disagreement with the Froissart bound [2], which is a consequence of the violation of S–channel unitarity constraints in LLA. One of the possible method of unitarizing the LLA results is based on the effective field theory valid for the multi–Regge kinematics of produced gluons [3]. Another (approximate) method corresponds to a generalized LLA, in which one calculates the contributions of the Feynman diagrams with an arbitrary but conserved number n of reggeized gluons in the crossing channel [4]. In our previous work it was shown that the corresponding Bethe–Salpeter equations for the n gluon t–channel partial waves $f_\omega, \omega = j - 1$, are significantly simplified in the multi–colour limit $N \to \infty$ [5]. In the impact parameter representation the wave functions $f_w(\vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2 .... \vec{\rho}_n; \vec{\rho}_0)$ for compound states of the reggeized gluons with two–dimensional transverse coordinates $(\vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2 ... \vec{\rho}_n)$ have the property of the holomorphic factorization:

$$f_\omega(\vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2 ... \vec{\rho}_n; \vec{\rho}_0) = \sum_r f^r(\rho_1, \rho_2, ... \rho_n; \rho_0) \tilde{f}^r(\rho_1^*, \rho_2^*, ... f_n^*; \rho_0^*), \qquad (1)$$

where $f^r(\tilde{f}^r)$ are holomorphic (antiholomorphic) functions of the complex coordinates ρ_i, ρ_i^* of gluons in the impact parameter space. The position ω of singularities of t–channel partial waves is expressed in terms of energies ϵ, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ for corresponding holomorphic and antiholomorphic subsystems:

$$\omega = -\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} N(\epsilon + \tilde{\epsilon}), \quad \epsilon f^r = \mathcal{H} f^r, \quad \tilde{\epsilon} f^r = \mathcal{H}^* f^r, \qquad (2)$$

where the total holomorphic Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i,i+1}. \qquad (3)$$

The pair Hamiltonians $H_{i,k}$ can be written in two equivalent forms

$$H_{ik} = P_i^{-1}\ell n(\rho_{ik})P_i + P_k^{-1}\ell n(\rho_{ik})P_k + \ell n(P_iP_k) - 2\psi(1) = \\ = 2\ell n(\rho_{ik}) + \rho_{ik}\ell n(P_iP_k)\rho_{ik}^{-1} - 2\psi(1), \tag{4}$$

where $\rho_{ik} = \rho_i - \rho_k, P_k = i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_k}, \psi(1) = -\gamma, \gamma$ is the Euler constant. From representations (4) one can verify that the operator $\mathcal{H}^T$, conjugated to $\mathcal{H}$, can be constructed from $\mathcal{H}$ with the use of two different similarity transformations:

$$H^T = P_n^{-1}...P_1^{-1}HP_1...P_n = \rho_{12}\rho_{23}...\rho_{n1}H\rho_{12}^{-1}\rho_{23}^{-1}...\rho_{n1}^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Therefore there is the following differential operator, commuting with Hamiltonian (3):

$$\mathcal{A} = \rho_{12}\rho_{23}...\rho_{n1}P_1P_2...P_n,\ [\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H}] = 0 \tag{6}$$

and, to find a solution of Schrödinger's eqs. (2), it is enough to find all solutions of the differential equation [5]:

$$\mathcal{A}f = \lambda f. \tag{7}$$

In this paper we shall show that there is a set of n–th order mutually commuting differential operators, including $\mathcal{A}$ (6) and being a solutions of the Yang–Baxter equations for a monodromy matrix.

To begin with, let us introduce the following 2×2 monodromy matrix

$$T(\Theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta + \rho_1\partial_1, & \partial_1 \\ -\rho_1^2\partial_1, & \Theta - \rho_1\partial_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Theta + \rho_2\partial_2, & \partial_2 \\ -\rho_2^2\partial_2, & \Theta - \rho_2\partial_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \\ \cdots \begin{pmatrix} \Theta + \rho_n\partial_n, & \partial_n \\ -\rho_n^2\partial_n, & \Theta - \rho_n\partial_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

where Θ is the spectral parameter, $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_k}$.
One can easily verify that its factors

$$L(\Theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta + \rho\partial, & \partial \\ -\rho^2\partial, & \Theta - \rho\partial \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

satisfy the following commutation relations

$$L(v)_{i_2k_2}L(u)_{i_1k_1}L^{1/2}_{k_1k_2,j_1j_2}(u-v) = L^{1/2}_{i_1i_2,k_1k_2}(u-v)L(u)_{k_2j_2}L(v)_{k_1j_1}, \qquad (10)$$

where the numerical matrix $L^{1/2}_{k_1k_2;j_1j_2}(u)$ is the lattice L–operator for the XXX Baxter model with the following nonvanishing matrix elements:

$$\begin{aligned} &L^{1/2}_{11;11}(u) = L^{1/2}_{22;22}(u) = u+1, \\ &L^{1/2}_{12;12}(u) = L^{1/2}_{21;21} = u, \\ &L^{1/2}_{12;21}(u) = L^{1/2}_{21;12} = 1. \end{aligned} \qquad (11)$$

The matrix $L^{1/2}$ satisfies the three–linear Yang–Baxter equations [6]. As a consequence of eq. (10) we obtain the commutation relations for the matrix elements of $T(\Theta)$ (8):

$$T(v)_{i_2k_2}T(u)_{i_1k_1}L^{1/2}_{k_1k_2,j_1j_2}(u-v) = L^{1/2}_{i_1i_2,k_1k_2}(u-v)T(u)_{k_2j_2}T(v)_{k_1j_1}. \qquad (12)$$

From these equations one can conclude in particular, that the operators

$$t(\Theta) = T(\Theta)_{11} + T(\Theta)_{22} \qquad (13)$$

commute with each others

$$t(v)t(u) = t(u)t(v). \qquad (14)$$

Therefore, if we expand $t(\Theta)$ in the series over Θ

$$t(\theta) = Q_n + \theta Q_{n-1} + ... + \theta^h, \qquad (15)$$

then the coefficients Q_k, being the k–th order differential operators:

$$Q_k = \sum_{\substack{\{i_1,i_2,...i_k\} \\ i_1<i_2<...<i_k}} \rho_{i_1i_2}\rho_{i_2i_3}...\rho_{i_ki_1}\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}...\partial_{ik}, \qquad (16)$$

commute

$$[Q_k, Q_{k'}] = 0 \tag{17}$$

The operator $\mathcal{A}$ (7) is proportional to $t(0)$:

$$\mathcal{A} = i^n Q_n \tag{18}$$

Another operator, which also commutes with $\mathcal{H}$ (3) is

$$Q_2 = -\sum_{i<k} \rho_{ik}^2 \partial_i \partial_k. \tag{19}$$

Q_2 is the Kazimir operator $(\sum_i \vec{M}_i)^2$ of the Möbious group of transformations: $\rho \to \frac{a\rho+b}{c\rho+d}$, concerning which eqs. (2) are invariant [2,5].

It is plausible that all operators Q_k commute with $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover it is natural to expect that $\mathcal{H}$ is a function of operators Q_k and therefore to find its eigenvalues it is enough to calculate eigenvalues of Q_k. Eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions of eq. (7) can be obtained by using the algebraic Bethe ansatz [6]. In the future publications we shall use the quantum inverse scattering method, developed by L. Faddeev and his collaborators [6], to solve eqs. (2), (7), starting from commutation relations (12).

The remarkable mathematical properties of the equations for QCD scattering amplitudes at high energies could be explained from the fact, that the Yang–Mills theory is a low energy limit of the super–string model, having a very rich symmetry group.

The author is thankful to the Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Padova for the hospitality and to Prof. A. Bassetto and Dr. M. Matone for helpful discussions.

References

1. E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP 44 (1976) 443; 45 (1977) 199;

2. L.N.Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP 63 (5) (1986) 904;

3. L.N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B365 (1991) 641;

4. J. Bartels, Nucl. Phys. B175 (1980) 365; J. Kwiecinski and M. Praszalowicz, Phys. Lett. B94 (1980) 413;

5. L.N. Lipatov, Physics Lett. B309 (1993) 394;

6. L.D. Faddeev, Soviet Sci. Reviews, Contemporary Math. Phys., C1 (1980) 107.